FreeOS ShadowOps — Business Case

ROI Analysis, Market Positioning, and Value Proposition

eHealthBrains ApS

March 2026

Business Case: FreeOS ShadowOps

The Autonomous DevOps Platform for Sovereign Infrastructure

---

1. Problem Statement

1.1 The DevOps Talent Crisis

The global shortage of experienced DevOps and platform engineers is acute and worsening. In 2025, demand for cloud-native infrastructure expertise exceeds supply by an estimated 2:1 ratio in Western Europe. The consequences are measurable:

- **Hiring cost:** Recruiting a senior DevOps engineer costs €15,000–25,000 in recruitment fees alone
- **Salary:** €80,000–120,000 per year per engineer in Denmark/Northern Europe
- **Retention:** Average tenure for DevOps engineers is 18–24 months
- **On-call burden:** 24/7 coverage requires minimum 2 FTEs; realistic coverage requires 3–4
- **Knowledge loss:** When an engineer leaves, operational knowledge leaves with them

For small and mid-size organisations — healthcare providers, municipal IT departments, regional hosting companies — maintaining a dedicated DevOps team is simply not economically viable.

1.2 The Monitoring Tool Trap

Commercial monitoring platforms do not solve the underlying problem. They generate visibility — not action. Key issues:

- **Alert fatigue:** Datadog generates hundreds of alerts per day; most are noise
- **Cloud dependency:** All major monitoring SaaS platforms send data to cloud providers — unacceptable for regulated industries
- **Reactive, not proactive:** Tools detect failures after they occur; they do not prevent or remediate
- **Cost spiral:** Datadog pricing scales with infrastructure; a mid-size cluster costs €2,000–5,000/month
- **No context:** Raw alerts require an engineer to investigate, diagnose, and decide — the very bottleneck they were meant to solve

1.3 The Sovereignty Constraint

Healthcare, government, and financial workloads operate under regulatory frameworks — GDPR, NIS2, DORA, ISO 13485, HIPAA — that restrict where data can flow. Cloud-hosted monitoring tools, AI inference APIs, and managed Kubernetes services all represent potential sovereignty violations.

Organisations in these sectors need infrastructure that: - Runs entirely within their jurisdiction - Does not send operational telemetry to external parties - Can be audited end-to-end - Has a predictable, flat cost model

No existing commercial platform satisfies all four criteria simultaneously.

---

2. The Solution: FreeOS ShadowOps

FreeOS ShadowOps is an AI-native autonomous DevOps platform that provides:

1. **Continuous monitoring** across cluster, infrastructure, certificates, backup, and security domains
2. **AI-enriched alert triage** — every alert analysed by a local LLM before reaching the operator
3. **Autonomous remediation** within defined safety boundaries
4. **High-availability architecture** — master agent with standby twin, auto-failover, full context preservation
5. **100% on-premises deployment** — all AI inference, all data, all secrets remain within the customer environment
6. **GitOps-native operations** — every change is auditable, reproducible, and version-controlled

The platform is not a monitoring tool. It is an **autonomous operations engineer** that works continuously, never calls in sick, never burns out, and always escalates with full context rather than raw alerts.

---

3. Target Market

Primary Segments

Segment	Description	Pain Point	Fit
Sovereign cloud operators	Regional hosting companies offering managed infrastructure to regulated industries	Need to offer managed DevOps without hiring large teams	Excellent
Healthcare IT	Hospital groups, regional health authorities, health tech companies	GDPR/NIS2 constraints; DevOps talent shortage; 24/7 uptime requirements	Excellent

Segment	Description	Pain Point	Fit
Government/municipal IT	Local and national government infrastructure teams	Budget constraints; sovereignty requirements; small teams	Strong
MedTech and HealthTech	ISO 13485-regulated software companies needing infrastructure compliance	DevOps compliance overhead; audit trail requirements	Strong
Financial technology	Fintech companies under DORA/PSD2 with operational resilience mandates	Regulatory requirements for operational continuity	Good

Addressable Market

- **Northern Europe (primary):** ~2,400 organisations running regulated private cloud infrastructure
- **DACH region (secondary):** Strong sovereignty culture; approximately 1,800 additional targets
- **WHO / international health IT (expansion):** Henrik Ibsen's existing network provides direct access to national healthcare IT programmes in 10+ countries

Conservative addressable market at €3,000–8,000/month per deployment: **€87M–230M ARR** in Northern Europe alone.

---

4. Cost Displacement Analysis

4.1 What FreeOS ShadowOps Replaces

Scenario: Mid-size organisation, 1 production k3s cluster, 50–200 workloads

Cost Item	Without ShadowOps	With ShadowOps	Annual Saving
DevOps engineers (2 FTE)	€200,000	€0 (1 FTE oversight only)	€100,000–150,000
Commercial monitoring (Datadog)	€36,000–60,000	€0	€36,000–60,000
Alerting platform (PagerDuty)	€12,000–24,000	€0	€12,000–24,000

Cost Item	Without ShadowOps	With ShadowOps	Annual Saving
Incident response overhead	€20,000–40,000	~€5,000	€15,000–35,000
Total annual displacement	**€268,000–324,000**	**~€5,000**	**€163,000–259,000**

FreeOS ShadowOps cost to operate: **€15,000–30,000/year** (hardware, AI model API, maintenance).

Net annual saving: €133,000–244,000 per deployment.

4.2 Three-Year TCO Comparison

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	3-Year Total
Traditional approach	€268,000	€268,000	€268,000	**€804,000**
FreeOS ShadowOps	€50,000*	€30,000	€30,000	**€110,000**
Net saving				**€694,000**

*Year 1 includes deployment and integration cost (~€20,000 one-time)

3-year TCO advantage: €694,000 per customer (87% reduction)

This aligns directly with FreeOSCloud's documented value proposition of 25–40% IT opex reduction — and significantly exceeds it in the DevOps operations domain specifically.

---

5. Competitive Differentiation

Capability	FreeOS ShadowOps	Datadog	PagerDuty	Managed K8s (EKS/AKS)
On-premises AI inference	Yes	No	No	No
Data sovereignty	100%	Partial	No	No
Autonomous remediation	Yes	No	No	No
AI root cause analysis	On-prem	Cloud	No	No
HA agent failover	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A

Capability	FreeOS ShadowOps	Datadog	PagerDuty	Managed K8s (EKS/AKS)
Session context continuity	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A
GitOps-native	Yes	No	No	Partial
Flat cost model	Yes	No (usage-based)	No	No
Operator: 1 human	Yes	Requires team	Requires team	Requires team
Regulatory compliance	Yes	Partial	No	Partial

No existing commercial platform offers autonomous remediation, on-premises AI inference, HA agent failover with context continuity, and full data sovereignty simultaneously. **FreeOS ShadowOps has no direct competitor in this specific intersection.**

---

6. Revenue Model Options

Option A: SaaS per Cluster (Recommended for hosting companies)

Tier	Clusters	Monthly Fee	Annual ARR per Customer
Starter	1 cluster, 2 Watchmen	€2,500/month	€30,000
Professional	Up to 5 clusters, 5 Watchmen	€5,000/month	€60,000
Enterprise	Unlimited clusters, custom Watchmen	€10,000+/month	€120,000+

Option B: Perpetual License + Support

- One-time license: €50,000–150,000 depending on cluster count
- Annual maintenance and support: 20% of license
- Suitable for large healthcare providers and government entities

Option C: Managed Service (White-label via Hosting Partners)

- Partner pays wholesale; charges end-customer a margin
- Hosted on customer infrastructure; eHealthBrains provides remote management
- Target: regional hosting companies offering "AI-managed private cloud"

---

7. Risk Assessment

Risk: AI makes incorrect remediation decisions

Mitigation: FreeOSBot operates within strictly defined autonomy boundaries. It will restart a crashed pod without asking. It will not modify a production database schema without explicit operator approval. All actions are logged to git. All escalations include full context. The operator retains final authority on irreversible decisions.

Risk: AI model provider dependency

Mitigation: The platform supports multiple AI providers (Anthropic Claude, Google Gemini, local Ollama). If one provider is unavailable, the system fails over automatically. For full air-gap environments, Ollama-only deployment is supported.

Risk: Telegram as single communication channel

Mitigation: Plan B watchdog uses raw Telegram Bot API independently of all other components. Watchmen have a direct Telegram path that bypasses FreeOSBot. A Slack/Teams integration path is on the product roadmap.

Risk: Single physical host (current lab configuration)

Mitigation: FreeOSBot-Twin runs in k3s, providing container-level HA. Full host-level HA requires a second physical node — a planned milestone. Until then, Plan B watchdog provides last-resort alerting even when the host is degraded.

---

8. Go-to-Market Strategy

Phase 1 (Q2 2026): Reference deployment FreeOSCloud HealthCloud is the reference customer. Document, demonstrate, and publish case study.

Phase 2 (Q3 2026): Partner channel Approach 3–5 Nordic hosting companies (Hetzner Nordic, Telenor, Telia) as white-label partners. They offer "AI-managed sovereign cloud" to their healthcare/government customers.

Phase 3 (Q4 2026): International Leverage Henrik Ibsen's WHO, CEEMA, and Asia-Pacific network for public sector deployments in healthcare IT.

Sales cycle: 3–6 months for enterprise; 4–8 weeks for hosting partners. Decision-makers: CTO, Head of Infrastructure, CISO.

---

9. Summary

FreeOS ShadowOps addresses a real, acute, and growing problem: the cost and complexity of operating sovereign cloud infrastructure without a large DevOps team. It

does so with a technically differentiated, regulatory-compliant, and economically compelling platform.

The business case is straightforward: **one deployment saves €133,000–244,000 per year, pays back in under 3 months, and displaces multiple expensive commercial tools with a single sovereign solution.**

The market is large, the competition is weak in this specific intersection, and the reference deployment is live. The platform is ready to sell.

_____

eHealthBrains ApS | www.ehealthbrains.com | March 2026